## MOOCs, Private Funding \& Equity: A Case Study

## MOOCs \& Higher Ed

- Leading university in 2020
- Role of private vs public
- Link between education access \& socioeconomic development
- Educational supply for vulnerable population (focus of presentation)



## QUESTION

Does MOOCs improve education equity for rural students in China?

## VARIABLES

MOOCs
Equity

## Rural <br> Students

## PLAYERS

## Gov/Public

Private

## Parents/ <br> Students/ <br> Teachers

## BACKGROUND \& TREND

- Demand for English courses:

口\#1 in world
o English compulsory since 3rd grade

- Online English products: \$701M revenue
- Top payers:
- Govt-run schools
- Private language centers
- Consumers

70\% parents want children to learn English
47\% children were 3-6 years old when first learned English

## BACKGROUND \& TREND

- Supply for English courses:
- 50,000 centers
- 90\% private
- Concentrated in big cities
$\square$ Offline centers increasingly moving online to increase margin


## USERS



## REVENUE



## USERS

Academic distribution of online education users

- Junior College
- Professionals
- Others


## INTERNET PENETRATION

## China population: 1.3B (2015)

## \% total population



- Rural Internet
- Rural NonInternet
- Urban


## SOLUTION FOR INCLUSIVITY

- Gov. plans to have entire K-12 population (200M students) online by 2020.
- Education Information Tech Development Plan (2011-2020)
o By 2015, 60\% schools with broadband Internet
o By 2015, all rural schools \& kindergartens with multi-media classrooms
o Primary school student:computer ratio: 10:1
- By 2020, all classrooms: multimedia, all $\mathrm{K}-12$ : broadband Internet


## SOLUTION FOR INCLUSIVITY

- Rationale:
- History of tech solutions:
- Education Ministry broadcasted agricultural lessons to 100M rural students
- Early 2000s: Li Ka Shing Foundation installed satellites \& computers to broadcast lectures to 10,000 rural schools
- Access = Equity:
- Didactic style translates well online
- Reverent Confucian attitude towards learning ensure students' "progress"


## INTERNET PENETRATION



## MOOC LANDSCAPE

\# of registered users (2015)

https://www.edsurge.com/news/2016-12-29-monetization-over-massiveness-breaking-down-moocs-by-the-numbers-in-2016

## CASE STUDY

Hujiang. com

## HUJIANG.COM




## HUJIANG.COM

## 200120062008 <br> Present <br> Founded Simple biz New biz model CSR (Hu+) <br> Nonprofit Ad-supported Tuition (40\%) Rural focus P2P learning <br> E-commerce (40\%)

Ads (20\%)

## PR TALK

- Vision
- Equity
$\square$ Bottom-up innovation ("innovation at the edge"Michael Trucano)
o Fee starting at 1 yuan/day (~\$0.15)
- Poverty threshold: < \$1.9/day (World Bank criteria)


## HU+ (HUJIA) PROJECT

- Target: 140,000 rural schools with < 200 students each
- Problems: Falling enrolment, teacher shortage, other resources
- Success story:
- Xindian Primary School, Sichuan Province
- 16 students, 3 teachers
$\square$ Online-offline integration
o City teachers teach rural students (online)
- City teahers train rural teacheres (online)
- Rural teachers teach rural students (offline)


## REALITY CHECK

- $\sim 150 \mathrm{M}$ Hujiang users $=\sim 11 \%$ of population in 2015
- Top users
- Age: 18-35
$\square$ Top locations: Shanghai, Guangdong



## INVESTMENTS



## MOOC CRITIQUES

- Amplify negative qualities of traditional classroom
- Didactic = not engaging
- Quality control
$\square$ No unity of curriculum
- Access is not equity
- Rural students still lack other resources afforded by city students
- Globally, only $50 \%$ registered users begin courses. Of those, only $30 \%$ complete

